**ABSTRACT**

The CO2 capture properties of M2CO3 (M = Na, K)- and CaCO3-promoted MgO sorbents are investigated by first-principles density functional theory complemented with lattice phonon calculations. The calculated thermodynamic properties indicate that by forming double salts (M2Mg(CO3)2 and CaMg(CO3)2), compared to pure MgO, the maximum allowable CO2 capture temperatures of the M2CO3- and CaCO3-modified MgO sorbents are shifted to higher temperature ranges. Under pre-combustion conditions with P_{CO2} = 10 bar, the Na2CO3-promoted and CaCO3-promoted MgO sorbents can capture CO2 at temperatures as high as 915 K and 740 K respectively. While under post-combustion conditions with P_{CO2} = 0.1 bar, their maximum allowable CO2 capture temperatures are 710 K and 600 K respectively. However, when adding K2CO3 into MgO, under both pre- and post-combustion conditions, its maximum CO2 capture temperatures only increased about 10 K relative to pure MgO. These results indicate that by mixing another solid into MgO, it is possible to shift its CO2 capture temperature to fit practical industrial needs.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Carbon dioxide (CO2) from large stationary sources such as power plants has been identified as one of the leading causes of global warming (White et al., 2003; Allen et al., 2009). Carbon-free or carbon-neutral renewable energy sources are not likely to completely replace fossil fuel power plants for many years to come (Lund and Mathiesen, 2009). Hence, there is a need to reduce CO2 emission by carbon capture and sequestration so that fossil fuel power plants can be operated without releasing enormous quantities of CO2 into the atmosphere (Haszeldine, 2009; Markewitz et al., 2010; Li et al., 2013). Accordingly, solid sorbent materials have been proposed for capture of CO2 through a reversible chemical transformation. Among them, alkali and alkaline metal oxide based solid sorbents can play an important role for CO2 capture as they can be used over a wide temperature range (Stamnoret and Gilot, 2005; Lee et al., 2006; Lee and Kim, 2007; Siriwardane et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2008; Duan and Sorescu, 2009, 2010; Duan et al., 2011).

CaO and MgO have been widely studied as CO2 sorbents due to their potential high CO2 capacity and low material cost (Wang et al., 2011). Having high reactivity with CO2, CaO can be used as a CO2 sorbent in post-combustion technology as it can capture CO2 with a carbonation/calcination looping cycle at high temperature (Yang et al., 2010), while MgO can be used in pre-combustion CO2 capture technology (Hasanzadeh and Abbasi, 2010; Abbasi et al., 2013). However, although its theoretical CO2 capture capacity (109 wt%) is very high, practically, the unmodified MgO has a very low CO2 capacity of 0.24 mmol/g at 473 K (Zhang et al., 2013). Improving its practical CO2 capture capacity is the key issue in order to use MgO as CO2 sorbent. Recent studies showed that when MgO was doped with alkali and alkaline metal carbonates, its CO2 capture capacity increased and its maximum absorption temperature could be shifted (Mayorga et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2008; Montero et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2013). For example, when Na2CO3 doped into MgO, the CO2 capacity of the
newly formed sorbent is 1–7 mmol CO₂/g depending on the temperature and dopant loading (Mayorga et al., 2001). We did a further investigation on Na₂CO₃-promoted MgO sorbent and found that by forming Na₂Mg(CO₃)₂ double salt its operating temperature is increased to about 673 K which is compatible with warm gas cleanup (573–773 K) from a pre-combustion syngas (Zhang et al., 2013). A similar double salt Cs₂Mg(CO₃)₂ was also observed in the Cs promoted triglyceride transesterification over MgO nanocatalysts (Montero et al., 2010). The K₂CO₃-promoted MgO-based sorbent was investigated by several research groups (Lee et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2008; Xiao et al., 2011). Their results showed that its CO₂ capture capacity could be as high as 197.6 mg CO₂/g, and after CO₂ absorption the double salts K₂Mg(CO₃)₂ and K₂Mg(CO₃)₂·4(H₂O) were formed. Li et al. investigated the dolomite modified with acetic acid for CO₂ capture and found that the calcined modified dolomite possesses greater surface area and pore volume than calcined original sorbent during the multiple cycles (Li et al., 2008). However, the thermodynamics and mechanisms of formation of these carbonate-promoted MgO sorbents still remain unclear. In this study, based on our computational methodology (Duan and Sorescu, 2009, 2010; Duan et al., 2012), we first calculate the thermodynamic properties of the double salts (Na₂Mg(CO₃)₂, K₂Mg(CO₃)₂, and CaMg(CO₃)₂). Then based on the obtained data, we investigated the CO₂ capture properties of M₂CO₃ (M = Na, K)- and CaMg(CO₃)₂-promoted MgO sorbents.

**Computational Methods**

The complete description of our computational methodology can be found in our previous papers (Duan and Sorescu, 2009, 2010, 2011; Duan et al., 2011). Here, we limit ourselves to provide only the main aspects relevant to the current study. When examining the M₂CO₃ (M = Na, K)- and CaMg(CO₃)₂-promoted MgO as CO₂ absorbents, we consider the following reactions:

\[
\text{M}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{MgO} + \text{CO}_2 \underset{T,P}{\rightleftharpoons} \text{M}_2\text{Mg(CO}_3)_2 \quad (M = \text{K, Na}) \tag{a}
\]

\[
\text{CaCO}_3 + \text{MgO} + \text{CO}_2 \underset{T,P}{\rightleftharpoons} \text{CaMg(CO}_3)_2 \tag{b}
\]

Assuming the difference between the chemical potential of solid phases (M₂CO₃, CaCO₃, MgO, M₂Mg(CO₃)₂, and CaMg(CO₃)₂) can be approximated by the differences in their electronic energies (ΔE_{\text{EDFT}}), entropies (ΔS_{\text{EDFT}}), and harmonic free energies (ΔF_{\text{HFF}}), we can obtain the temperature and pressure dependent chemical potential (Δμ) for these reactions

\[
\Delta \mu (T, P) = \Delta \mu^0 (T) - RT \ln \left( \frac{P_{\text{CO}_2}}{P_0} \right) \tag{1}
\]

with

\[
\Delta \mu^0 (T) = \Delta E_{\text{EDFT}} + \Delta E_{\text{ZP}} + \Delta F_{\text{HFF}}(T) - G_{\text{CO}_2} (T) \tag{2}
\]

where ΔE_{ZP} is the zero point energy difference between the reactants and products, which can be obtained directly from phonon calculations. P₀ is the standard state reference pressure of 1 bar. The enthalpy change for the reactions (a) and (b), ΔH_{\text{cal}}(T), can be derived from the above equations as

\[
\Delta H_{\text{cal}} (T) = \Delta \mu^0 (T) + T(\Delta S_{\text{cal}} (T) - S_{\text{CO}_2} (T)) \tag{3}
\]

As described in our previous study (Duan and Sorescu, 2009, 2010; Duan et al., 2011, 2012), the zero-point-energy, the free energy and the entropy of CO₂ (E_{\text{ZP,CO}_2}, G_{\text{CO2}}(T), S_{\text{CO2}}(T)) can be obtained by standard statistical mechanics and accurately evaluated using the Shomate equation. In Eq. (2), ΔE_{\text{EDFT}} is the total energy change of the reactants and products calculated by density functional theory (DFT). In this work, the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP) (Kresse and Hafner, 1993) was employed to calculate the electronic structures of the solid materials involved in this study. All calculations have been done using the projector augmented wave (PAW) pseudo-potentials and the PW91 exchange-correlation functional (Perdew and Wang, 1992). This computational level was shown to provide an accurate description of oxide systems (Duan and Sorescu, 2010; Duan, 2011; Duan et al., 2011). Plane wave basis sets were used with a cutoff energy of 500 eV and a kinetic energy cutoff for augmentation charges of 605.4 eV. The k-point sampling grids of n₁ × n₂ × n₃, obtained using the Monkhorst-Pack method (Monkhorst and Pack, 1976), were used for these bulk calculations, where n₁, n₂, and n₃ were determined consistent to a spacing of about 0.028 Å⁻¹ along the axes of the reciprocal unit cells. The corresponding k-points sets that we used in our calculations were 8 × 8 × 2 for Na₂Mg(CO₃)₂ and K₂Mg(CO₃)₂, and 9 × 9 × 2 for CaMg(CO₃)₂, respectively. During the calculations, all atoms in the cell as well as the lattice dimensions and angles were relaxed to the equilibrium configurations.

In Eqs. (2) and (3), the zero-point-energies (E_{ZP}), entropies (S_{ZP}), and harmonic free energies (F_{HFF}, excluding zero-point energy which was already counted into the term ΔE_{ZP}) of solids were calculated by the PHONON software package (Parlinski, 2010) in which the direct method is applied following the formula derived by Parlinski et al. (1997) to combine ab initio DFT with lattice phonon dynamics calculations. In the phonon calculations, a 3 × 3 × 1 supercell is created for Na₂Mg(CO₃)₂, K₂Mg(CO₃)₂, and CaMg(CO₃)₂ from their optimized unit cells that are calculated through DFT for phonon calculations. Based on the partition function carried out with the phonon dispersions and phonon densities of states, their thermodynamic properties, such as internal energy, free energy, entropy, heat capacity, etc., can be evaluated under different temperature and pressure. These values are used in Eq. (1) to calculate the chemical potentials for the reactions (a) and (b). The available experimental thermodynamic data were taken from HSC.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

DFT and Phonon Calculated Results

Eitelite, Na₂Mg(CO₃)₂, has a hexagonal structure with space group R̃3H(#148) (Pabst, 1973). The structure of synthetic K₂Mg(CO₃)₂ is trigonal with space group R3m H (Hesse and Simons, 1982). Similar to Na₂Mg(CO₃)₂, the structure of dolomite, CaMg(CO₃)₂, is also a trigonal with space group R3H(#148) which can be described as a corner-linked structure of filled octahedral and nearly planar CO₃ groups (Reeder and Markgraf, 1986). Compared to calcite (CaCO₃), the lower symmetry of dolomite results from the alternating Ca and Mg layers and the slight rotation of the CO groups which move the oxygen atoms off the diad axes that exist in calcite. By applying this double salt crystal structural information into our modeling scheme, the optimized lattice constants and total electronic energies of these three double salts as well as the corresponding carbonates and oxides considered in this work are presented in Table 1 (Duan and Sorescu, 2010; Duan et al., 2011; Duan, 2012), along with experimental structural data. The agreement between the DFT optimized lattice constants and experimental data is generally very good. The calculated energy (E_DFT) for each solid is used to evaluate the DFT energy change (ΔE_DFT in Eq. (2)) of the CO₂ capture reactions (a) and (b).

Phonon calculations were performed for the double salts listed in Table 1. The finite temperature thermodynamic properties were then computed from the calculated phonon density of states by following our previous approach (Duan and Sorescu, 2010). The calculated phonon free energies, entropies, and heat capacities of these solid phase materials involved in this study are plotted as a function of temperature in Fig. 1. The zero-point energy (E_ZP) of each compound and corresponding available experimental measured data are also listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Comparison of the experimental and DFT calculated structural parameters and energies for the compounds in the reactions studied, with all distances in angstroms and angles in degrees. The zero-point energy and entropy calculated from phonon density of states, as well as the experimental data are also listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Space group</th>
<th>Structural parameters (Å, degree)</th>
<th>Calculated Energy (eV/f.u.)</th>
<th>Entropy (J/mol·K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>Fm̅3m (No. 225)</td>
<td>a = 4.2198</td>
<td>a = 4.24888</td>
<td>12.00759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂Mg(CO₃)₂</td>
<td>R̃3H (No. 148)</td>
<td>a = 4.942</td>
<td>a = 4.97803</td>
<td>-73.54023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂Mg(CO₃)₂</td>
<td>R3mH (No. 166)</td>
<td>a = 5.150</td>
<td>a = 5.21234</td>
<td>-72.93267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaMg(CO₃)₂</td>
<td>R̃3H (No. 148)</td>
<td>a = 4.8069</td>
<td>a = 4.85035</td>
<td>-73.74471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>Fm̅3m (No. 225)</td>
<td>a = 4.8152</td>
<td>a = 4.81903</td>
<td>12.98752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>Fm̅3m (No. 225)</td>
<td>a = 5.55</td>
<td>a = 5.58517</td>
<td>-11.34789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>Fm̅3m (No. 225)</td>
<td>a = 6.436</td>
<td>a = 6.52362</td>
<td>-10.14413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCO₃</td>
<td>R̃3cH (No. 167)</td>
<td>a = 4.6338</td>
<td>a = 4.68649</td>
<td>-35.96046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO₃</td>
<td>R̃3cH (No. 167)</td>
<td>a = 4.991</td>
<td>a = 5.03979</td>
<td>-37.61011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂CO₃</td>
<td>C12/m1 (No. 12)</td>
<td>a = 9.01029</td>
<td>a = 8.95180</td>
<td>-37.29272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂CO₃</td>
<td>P12₁/c1 (No. 14)</td>
<td>a = 5.63961</td>
<td>a = 5.76055</td>
<td>-36.90480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ molecule</td>
<td>P1 (D₁h)</td>
<td>r_C-O = 1.163</td>
<td>r_C-O = 1.1755</td>
<td>-22.99409</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Taken from HSC Chemistry Package.

*b* From references (Duan and Sorescu, 2010; Duan et al., 2011; Duan, 2012).
Fig. 1. Calculated (a) phonon free energies, (b) entropies and (c) heat of capacities for various solids studied as a function of temperature.

From Table 1, one can see that the calculated entropies of CaMg(CO₃)₂ and corresponding carbonates and oxides are in good agreement with the experimentally measured values. The calculated entropy of dolomite (159.89 J/mol·K) is between the two experimental values of 155.22 and 166.69 J/mol·K. Along with our previous studies on oxides and carbonates (Duan and Sorescu, 2010; Duan et al., 2011), these results indicate our theoretical approach can predict the reasonable thermodynamic properties of solids. As shown in Fig. 1, with increasing temperature, the free energy (F) of each solid is decreased while its entropy (S) and heat of capacity (C_p) is increased. At T = 0 K, the S and C_p of each solid is zero while its F = Ezp.

Thermodynamic Properties of the CO₂ Capture Reactions

By applying above calculated thermodynamic data into Eqs. (2) and (3) and setting the system pressure to 1 bar (in this case, Δμ₀ in Eq. (2) is the same as Gibbs free energy change ΔG), we can obtain the thermodynamic properties of reactions (a) and (b) which are shown in Figs. 2 and 3 respectively. For systematic analysis, the calculated thermodynamic data of reactions of the corresponding oxides capturing CO₂ as well as the double salt formation from the carbonates are also plotted in Figs. 2 and 3. Table 2 summarizes these results. For comparison, the available experimental thermodynamic data of the CO₂ capture reactions by MgO and dolomite are also shown in Figs. 2 and 3 as well as listed in Table 2.

As shown in Table 2, overall, the calculated ΔH and ΔG of these reactions are in good agreement with the available experimental data. The zero-point energy changes (ΔEZP) of the CO₂ capture reactions are significant and should be included into their thermodynamic analysis. For the double salt formation reactions M₂CO₃ + MgCO₃ = M₂Mg(CO₃)₂ (M = Na, K) and CaCO₃ + MgCO₃ = CaMg(CO₃)₂, their ΔEZP are much smaller (< 2 kJ/mol) and negligible. Within the temperature range 300 K–1500 K, their ΔH and ΔG are negative which means these the double salts are stable and can be formed by two single carbonates. At room temperature, Na₂Mg(CO₃)₂ is more stable than dolomite and K₂Mg(CO₃)₂.

From Fig. 2(a) it can be noticed that, for reaction MgO + CO₂ = MgCO₃, its experimental heat of reaction (ΔH) from the HSC Chemistry and FactSage databases have about a 20 kJ/mol discrepancy. Our calculated results are between these two sets of experimental values, but align closer to the HSC Chemistry values. The discontinuity of ΔH from HSC data at around 1300 K indicates there is a phase change. In the calculated the temperature range, the ΔH of reaction MgO + CO₂ + Na₂CO₃ = Na₂Mg(CO₃)₂ is lower than that of MgO + CO₂ = MgCO₃ but higher than that of Na₂O + CO₂ = Na₂CO₃. Similar trends were also found in dolomite
The calculated heats of reactions ($\Delta H$) of the CO$_2$ capture reactions versus temperatures. (a) Na$_2$CO$_3$-promoted MgO; (b) K$_2$CO$_3$-promoted MgO; (c) CaCO$_3$-promoted MgO.

Fig. 2. The calculated heats of reactions ($\Delta H$) of the CO$_2$ capture reactions versus temperatures. (a) Na$_2$CO$_3$-promoted MgO; (b) K$_2$CO$_3$-promoted MgO; (c) CaCO$_3$-promoted MgO.

The system shown in Fig. 2(c). However, as shown in Fig. 2(b), the $\Delta H$ of reaction MgO + CO$_2$ + K$_2$CO$_3$ = K$_2$Mg(CO$_3$)$_2$ is close to that of MgO + CO$_2$ = MgCO$_3$.

From the Gibbs free energy change ($\Delta G$) of the CO$_2$ capture reaction (Fig. 3), when $\Delta G = 0$, we can obtain the turnover temperature (T$_t$ listed in Table 3) above which the reverse reaction starts to release CO$_2$. From Fig. 3(a), one can see that for the reaction MgO + CO$_2$ = MgCO$_3$, its T$_t$ from HSC Chemistry (575 K) and FactSage (670 K) databases has about 95 K ($\Delta T$ in Fig. 3(a)) difference while our calculated value (590 K) is closer to the value obtained from HSC Chemistry database. Within the temperature range, the $\Delta G$ (T) of reaction MgO + CO$_2$ + Na$_2$CO$_3$ = Na$_2$Mg(CO$_3$)$_2$ (T$_t$ = 795 K) is lower than that of MgO + CO$_2$ = MgCO$_3$ (T$_t$ = 660 K), but is higher than that of Na$_2$O + CO$_2$ = Na$_2$CO$_3$ reaction (T$_t$ > 1500 K).

For the reaction of MgO + CaCO$_3$ capturing CO$_2$ to form dolomite as shown in Fig. 3(c), our calculated $\Delta G$ is in good agreement with the data from both HSC Chemistry and FactSage databases. The T$_t$ of MgO + CO$_2$ + CaCO$_3$ = CaMg(CO$_3$)$_2$ (T$_t$ = 660 K) is higher than that of MgO + CO$_2$ = MgCO$_3$ (T$_t$ = 590 K), but lower than that of the CaO + CO$_2$ = CaCO$_3$ reaction (T$_t$ = 1095 K). Similar results can be found for the MgO + K$_2$CO$_3$ sorbent system as shown in Fig. 3(b). As opposed to the MgO + Na$_2$CO$_3$ and MgO + CaCO$_3$ sorbents, the calculated $\Delta G$ (T) of reaction MgO + CO$_2$ + K$_2$CO$_3$ = K$_2$Mg(CO$_3$)$_2$ is only slightly lower than that of MgO + CO$_2$ = MgCO$_3$. As a result their T$_t$ differ by only 10 K.

**Application to Pre- and Post-Combustion CO$_2$ Capture Technologies**

According to Eq. (1), we can examine the relationships among the chemical potential ($\Delta \mu$), the temperature (T), and the CO$_2$ pressure (P$_{CO_2}$) of the CO$_2$ capture reactions by the carbonates-promoted MgO sorbents. Fig. 4 shows the corresponding results where only the contourgram of $\Delta \mu$ (T, P) = 0 curve is plotted explicitly. The lines in the figure show the values of T and P where $\Delta \mu$ (T, P) = 0 for each reaction. Around each line is a good region for absorption and desorption with optimal conditions because of the minimal energy costs at the respective temperature and pressure conditions. Above the lines, $\Delta \mu$ (T, P) < 0, the respective reactions are driven in the CO$_2$ absorption direction and the double salts are formed while below the respective lines, $\Delta \mu$ (T, P) > 0, the reactions are driven in
the opposite direction, releasing CO₂ and regenerating the MgO and carbonates.

As aforementioned and shown in Fig. 4, all of the reactions are thermodynamically favorable over a certain range of temperatures and P_{CO₂}, which means that under such conditions CO₂ is thermodynamically favored to be captured by these carbonate-promoted MgO mixtures.

The operating conditions for absorption/desorption processes depend on the specific pre- and post-combustion technologies. Under pre-combustion conditions, after water-gas shift, the gas stream mainly contains CO₂, H₂O and H₂. The partial CO₂ pressure is around 10–20 bar and the temperature is around 523–773K for warm gas clean-up. To minimize the energy consumption, the ideal sorbents should work in these pressure and temperature ranges to separate CO₂ from H₂. The separated H₂ can be used for fuel cell power production or for IGCC applications (Zhang et al., 2013). We define T₁ for each reaction to be the temperature at which the Δµ(P, T) = 0 curve crosses the P_{CO₂} = 10 bar line in Fig. 4. This temperature T₁, listed in Table 3, is the temperature above which the sorbent cannot absorb CO₂ and will release CO₂. This indicates that, during capture of CO₂, the operating temperature should be lower than T₁, whereas the operating temperature must be higher than T₁ in order to release CO₂. For post-combustion conditions, the gas stream mainly contains CO₂ and N₂, the partial pressure of CO₂ is around 0.1–0.2 bar (typically 0.14 bar), and the temperature range is significantly lower. We similarly define T₂ to be the temperature at which the Δµ = 0 curve for each reaction crosses the horizontal P = 0.1 bar line in Fig. 4. These corresponding T₂ values obtained for post-combustion capture by these three carbonate-promoted MgO sorbents are also listed in Table 3.

It should be pointed out that the T₁ and T₂ values listed in Table 3 are the highest temperatures at which the CO₂ absorption reaction still can occur for the specific pre- and post-combustion conditions. However, depending on which capture technology is considered, the real capture temperatures should be lower than that shown in Table 3 (T₁ and T₂). The United States Department of Energy programmatic goal for post-combustion CO₂ capture is to capture at least 90% CO₂ with an increase cost in electricity of no more than 35%, whereas in the case of pre-combustion CO₂ capture it is to capture at least 90% CO₂ with an increase cost in electricity of no more than 10% (DOE-NETL, 2007). Assuming that 90% of the CO₂ is captured, for a worst case (such as in a single-stage fluidized bed), the final CO₂ partial pressure will be lower than its initial value at 0.01–0.02 bar for post-combustion and at 1–2 bar for pre-combustion. Therefore, at the end, the final T₁ and T₂ must shift to a lower temperature range. Generally, at high temperature the kinetics of the CO₂ capture reaction...
Table 2. The CO₂ capture capacities in weight percentage (wt%), the calculated energy changes and thermodynamic properties of CO₂ capture reactions by solids. Enthalpies and Gibbs free energies correspond to partial pressures of CO₂ of 1 bar. (unit: kJ/mol).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactions</th>
<th>CO₂ wt%</th>
<th>ΔE¹⁹¹</th>
<th>ΔE²⁹⁸</th>
<th>ΔH (T = 300 K)</th>
<th>ΔG (T = 300 K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MgO + CO₂ = MgCO₃</td>
<td>109.19</td>
<td>–92.51</td>
<td>8.71</td>
<td>–106.05</td>
<td>–52.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO + Na₂CO₃ + CO₂ = Na₂Mg(CO₃)₂</td>
<td>30.14</td>
<td>–120.21</td>
<td>9.92</td>
<td>–130.38</td>
<td>–80.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO + K₂CO₃ + CO₂ = K₂Mg(CO₃)₂</td>
<td>24.65</td>
<td>–99.01</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>–113.01</td>
<td>–56.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO + CaCO₃ + CO₂ = CaMg(CO₃)₂</td>
<td>31.34</td>
<td>–109.31</td>
<td>9.68</td>
<td>–121.88</td>
<td>–66.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO + CO₂ = CaCO₃</td>
<td>78.48</td>
<td>–161.75</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>–176.75</td>
<td>–129.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O + CO₂ = Na₂CO₃</td>
<td>71.01</td>
<td>–284.71</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>–282.37</td>
<td>–231.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O + CO₂ = K₂CO₃</td>
<td>46.72</td>
<td>–363.42</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>–359.31</td>
<td>–309.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCO₃ + Na₂CO₃ = Na₂Mg(CO₃)₂</td>
<td>–27.70</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>–6.96</td>
<td>–4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCO₃ + K₂CO₃ = K₂Mg(CO₃)₂</td>
<td>–6.50</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>–6.96</td>
<td>–4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCO₃ + CaCO₃ = CaMg(CO₃)₂</td>
<td>–16.80</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>–15.83</td>
<td>–14.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Calculated by the HSC Chemistry package.
b Calculated by the FactSage package.

Table 3. The turnover temperature (Tt) at PCO₂ = 1 bar, the highest temperatures for sorbents capturing CO₂ at pre-combustion (T₁) condition with PCO₂ = 10 bar and post-combustion (T₂) condition with PCO₂ = 0.1 bar.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactions</th>
<th>Tt (K)</th>
<th>Pre-combustion</th>
<th>Post-combustion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MgO + Na₂CO₃ + CO₂ = Na₂Mg(CO₃)₂</td>
<td>795 a</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO + K₂CO₃ + CO₂ = K₂Mg(CO₃)₂</td>
<td>600 a</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO + CaCO₃ + CO₂ = CaMg(CO₃)₂</td>
<td>660 a</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO + CO₂ = CaCO₃</td>
<td>590 a</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O + CO₂ = Na₂CO₃</td>
<td>hT c</td>
<td>hT</td>
<td>hT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O + CO₂ = K₂CO₃</td>
<td>hT c</td>
<td>hT</td>
<td>hT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Calculated by the HSC Chemistry package.
b Calculated by the FactSage package.
c hT means the maximum temperature exceeds our temperature range (1500 K).

are faster. From the kinetics point of view, the capture temperature should be as close to the corresponding T₁ and T₂ as possible.

However, as a CO₂ solid sorbent, the materials of interest should not only be able to absorb CO₂ easily, but also easily release the CO₂ from the products. As shown in Figs. 3 and 4, to reverse the CO₂ capture reactions (a) and (b), energy input is needed as these reverse reactions are endothermic. The operating temperature for CO₂ desorption should be higher than the indicated temperatures T₁ (pre-combustion) or T₂ (post-combustion) as shown in Fig. 4. From Table 3 and Fig. 4, one can see that the maximum capture temperatures (T₁, T₂) have the following trend: Na₂CO₃ + MgO > K₂CO₃ + MgO > CaCO₃ + MgO. Obviously, compared to pure MgO, when add carbonates (Na₂CO₃, K₂CO₃ and CaCO₃) into MgO, the corresponding T₁ and T₂ increase.

Based on the results shown in Fig. 4 and Table 3, when we mix MgO with carbonate (M₂CO₃ (M = Na, K), CaCO₃) or oxide (M₂O and CaO, which is present in the carbonate form after first cycle), the T₁ and T₂ of CO₂ capture reactions by the mixed systems are located between those of MgO and the corresponding oxide (Na₂O, K₂O, CaO). As shown in Fig. 4(a), our calculated P-T (Δμ = 0) relationship of MgO capture CO₂ reaction is in good agreement with the data derived from HSC Chemistry database, but has a significant discrepancy with the data from FactSage database. As listed in Table 3, the T₁ and T₂ of MgO + CO₂ = MgCO₃
Fig. 4. Contour plots of the calculated chemical potential ($\Delta \mu$) versus temperature and the CO$_2$ pressure ($P$ plotted in logarithmic scale) for the CO$_2$ capture reactions. Only $\Delta \mu = 0$ curve is shown explicitly. For each reaction, above its $\Delta \mu = 0$ curve, their $\Delta \mu < 0$, which means the sorbents absorb CO$_2$ and the reaction goes forward, whereas below the $\Delta \mu = 0$ curve, their $\Delta \mu > 0$, which indicates CO$_2$ start to be released and reaction reverses with regeneration of the sorbents. (a) Na$_2$CO$_3$-promoted MgO; (b) K$_2$CO$_3$-promoted MgO; (c) CaCO$_3$-promoted MgO.

reaction are 660 K and 535 K, respectively. When Na$_2$CO$_3$ (or Na$_2$O) is mixed into MgO, by forming Na$_2$Mg(CO$_3$)$_2$ double salt, the corresponding $T_1$ and $T_2$ of the mixed sorbent increase to 915 K and 710 K, respectively. Obviously, as seeing in Fig. 4(a), the P-T ($\Delta \mu = 0$) relationship of MgO + Na$_2$CO$_3$ capture CO$_2$ is between those of pure MgO and Na$_2$O.

Similar conclusions can be drawn for the cases of MgO + K$_2$CO$_3$ and MgO + CaCO$_3$ shown in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c). As listed in Table 3, compared to pure MgO, the MgO + K$_2$CO$_3$ mixture only has about 10 K increase on its $T_1$ and $T_2$ values, which indicates that adding K$_2$CO$_3$ (or K$_2$O) does not increase the maximum CO$_2$ capture temperature much, but could affect its CO$_2$ capture capacity and kinetics as demonstrated experimentally in the literatures (Lee et al., 2006, 2008; Xiao et al., 2011). As we know that another potential advantage of mixing solids is to gain entropy and to increase the surface area of active part of the solid for having faster reaction rate. The K$_2$CO$_3$ + MgO sorbent doesn’t show too much advantage in shifting the capture temperature, but may enhance the kinetics of the capture process and eventually make the mixtures more efficient. In the case of MgO + CaCO$_3$, as shown in Fig. 4(c) and Table 3, our calculated P-T relationship is in good agreement with the data derived from both HSC Chemistry and FactSage databases. Compared to pure MgO, MgO + CaCO$_3$ also increase the $T_1$ and $T_2$ up to 740 K and 600 K respectively, which perfectly fits the desired operating temperature range of the warm gas clean up technology, and therefore, it can be used as CO$_2$ sorbent in pre-combustion technology.

As one can see from Figs. 2 and 4, compared to pure MgO, the Na$_2$O, K$_2$O and CaO have stronger interaction with CO$_2$ and have higher $T_1$ and $T_2$ values. Adding these “strong” CO$_2$ sorbent into relatively “weak” MgO sorbent, the thermodynamic behaviors of the mixed sorbent are usually located between those of strong and weak sorbents. Similar concepts were applied to decrease the CO$_2$ capture temperature of the “strong” sorbent which acts as the effective CO$_2$ capture component while the “weak” part acts as a stabilizer to lift reaction free energy up (less negative), such as the Li$_2$O + SiO$_2$ and Li$_2$O + ZrO$_2$ systems (Duan, 2013; Duan et al., 2013). In this study, however, we use the “weak” MgO as the active capture component and want to increase its CO$_2$ capture temperature, the “strong” part (Na$_2$CO$_3$, K$_2$CO$_3$, CaCO$_3$) involved in the formation of double salt to bring thermodynamic properties ($\Delta H$ and
\( \Delta G \) of mixed system more negative, and in turn, increase the maximum \( \mathrm{CO}_2 \) capture temperatures \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \). Such results indicate that by adding other solids, we can improve operating conditions of the existing sorbent and synthesize new sorbent which could work at the desired operating temperature range.

CONCLUSIONS

First-principles density functional theory combined with phonon density of states calculations have been employed to obtain the thermodynamic properties of double salts \( \text{M}_2\text{Mg(CO}_3\text{)}_2 \) (\( \text{M} = \text{Na}, \text{K} \)) and \( \text{CaMg(CO}_3\text{)}_2 \). Based on the calculated thermodynamic data, their \( \mathrm{CO}_2 \) capture properties were fully investigated.

Although pure \( \text{MgO} \) has a very high theoretical \( \mathrm{CO}_2 \) capture capacity (109.2 wt%), its practical \( \mathrm{CO}_2 \) capture performance at medium temperature range is poor and its maximum capture temperature (590 K when \( P_{\text{CO}_2} = 1 \) bar, see Table 3) is located in the lower end of the desired temperature range of 523–773 K for warm gas clean up technology. This study proved that adding another oxide or carbonate could increase its capture temperature and in turn may improve its practical capture capacity. Our calculated results showed that by mixing alkali metal oxides (\( \text{M}_2\text{O} \) \( \text{M} = \text{Na}, \text{K} \)), \( \text{CaO} \) or carbonates (\( \text{M}_2\text{CO}_3 \) \( \text{M} = \text{Na}, \text{K} \), \( \text{CaCO}_3 \)) into \( \text{MgO} \), the corresponding mixed systems have higher \( \mathrm{CO}_2 \) capture temperatures through the reactions \( \text{MgO} + \mathrm{CO}_2 + \text{M}_2\text{Mg(CO}_3\text{)}_2 = \text{M}_2\text{Mg(CO}_3\text{)}_2 \) and \( \text{MgO} + \mathrm{CO}_2 + \text{CaCO}_3 = \text{CaMg(CO}_3\text{)}_2 \) respectively. Under pre-combustion conditions with \( P_{\text{CO}_2} = 10 \) bar, the \( \text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3-\), \( \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3- \) and \( \text{CaCO}_3- \) promoted \( \text{MgO} \) sorbents can capture \( \mathrm{CO}_2 \) up to 915 K, 665 K and 740 K respectively. While under post-combustion conditions with \( P_{\text{CO}_2} = 0.1 \) bar, their maximum \( \mathrm{CO}_2 \) capture temperatures are 710 K, 545 K and 600 K respectively. Among them, \( \text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3- \) and \( \text{CaCO}_3- \)-promoted \( \text{MgO} \) sorbents have large effects on increasing \( \mathrm{CO}_2 \) capture temperatures.

Our results indicated that by mixing carbonates into \( \text{MgO} \), it is possible to shift its \( \mathrm{CO}_2 \) capture temperature to higher range to fit the practical industrial needs. These results provide some general guidelines to design and synthesize new \( \mathrm{CO}_2 \) sorbents and in such cases computational modeling can play a decisive role for identifying materials with optimal performance.
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